

Particulars

About Your Organisation

1.1 Name of your organisation

DekelOil

1.2 What is/are the primary activity(ies) or product(s) of your organisation?

- Palm Oil Grower
- Processor and/or Trader
- Consumer Goods Manufacturer
- Retailer
- Bank and/or Investor
- Social and/or Development NGO
- Environmental and/or Conservation NGO
- Affiliate

1.3 Membership number

1-0070-08-000-00

1.4 Membership category

Oil Palm Growers

1.5 Membership sector

Ordinary

Grower

1. Operational Profile

1.1 Please state your main activities as a palm oil grower:

- Oil palm grower without palm oil mill
- Oil palm grower with palm oil mill
- Oil palm grower with palm oil mill and palm kernel crushing plant
- Smallholder Group Manager

2. Operations and Certification Progress

Information in Section 2 - Operations and Certification Progress - is a mandatory declaration in your ACOP. This includes hectare data, to enable the RSPO to accurately calculate certification on a member, sector and total level. ACOP reports without reported hectare data will be considered as incomplete and will not be accepted.

2.1 Land area controlled and managed associated to palm oil

2.1.1 Please state the total number of palm oil estates, certified and uncertified, controlled or managed by the member

1

2.1.7 Land area controlled and managed associated to palm oil

Description	Hectares
2.1.2 Total land controlled or managed for oil palm cultivation - planted and infrastructure (hectares)	1935.0
2.1.3 Total land controlled or managed for oil palm cultivation - unplanted (hectares)	0.0
2.1.4 Total land designated and managed as HCV areas (hectares)	0.0
2.1.5 Other conservation land set aside, excluding HCV areas reported in 2.1.4 (hectares)	0.0
2.1.6 Total land under scheme smallholders (hectares)	0.0
Total	1935.0

2.2 Certification progress:

2.2.1 Number of management units certified under RSPO P&C Certification

0

2.2.2 Total certified land under the RSPO P&C Certification, excluding scheme smallholders (hectares)

0.0

2.2.2.1 Certification progress - land under RSPO P&C Certification, excluding scheme smallholders

0.00%

2.3 In which countries are your estates located?**2.3.1 Indonesia - Please indicate which province(s)**

-

2.3.2 Malaysia - Please indicate which state(s)

-

2.3.3 Other - Please indicate which country/countries

Cote d'Ivoire

2.4 New plantings and development (excluding replanting)**2.4.1 How much new land was planted by your company during this reporting period (hectares)?**

0.0

2.5 Supply of Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB)**2.5.1 Total FFB volume produced by estates managed or controlled by your company (tonnes)**

17589224.0

2.5.1.1 Total certified FFB volume produced by certified estates managed or controlled by your company (tonnes)

0.0

2.5.2 In addition to FFB produced by your company's estates, does your supply base include any of the following?

- Scheme Smallholders
- Independent Smallholders
- Outgrowers
- Other Third-Party Suppliers

2.5.3 Scheme smallholder operations that supply your operations:**2.5.3.1 Total FFB volume supplied (tonnes)**

1318954.0

2.5.3.2 Total certified FFB volume supplied (tonnes)

0.0

2.5.4 Independent smallholder operations that supply your operations:**2.5.4.1 Total FFB volume supplied (tonnes)**121597892.0

2.5.4.2 Total certified FFB volume supplied (tonnes)0.0

2.5.6 Other Third-party supplier operations that supply your operations:**2.5.6.1 Total FFB volume supplied (tonnes)**45129282.0

2.5.6.2 Total certified FFB volume supplied (tonnes)0.0

2.6 Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB) processing and production operations**2.6.1 Number of palm oil mills operated**1

2.6.2 Number of palm oil mills certified under RSPO P&C0

2.7 Palm Kernel processing and production operations**2.7.1 Number of palm kernel crushers and/or palm kernel mills operated**1

2.7.2 Number of palm kernel crushers and/or palm kernel mills certified under RSPO Supply Chain Certification (SCC)0

3. Palm Oil and Certified Palm Oil Production**3.1 Total Crude Palm Oil produced (tonnes)**

Countries/Regions	Tonnes
Malaysia	0.0
Indonesia	0.0
Latin America	0.0
Africa	37648.82
Rest of the World	0.0
Total	37648.82

3.3 CSPO sold as RSPO certified

Description	Tonnes
Identity Preserved (IP)	0.0
Segregated (SG)	0.0
Mass Balance (MB)	0.0
RSPO Credits	0.0
Total	0.0

3.6 Total CSPO

Description	Tonnes
3.3 CSPO sold as RSPO-certified	0.0
3.4 CSPO sold under other certification schemes	0.0
3.5 CSPO sold as conventional	0.0
Total	0.0

3.7 According to the volume information you have provided in this questionnaire, CSPO represents the following percentage of your total CPO production

0.00%

3.2 Total Crude Palm Kernel produced (tonnes)

Countries/Regions	Tonnes
Malaysia	0.0
Indonesia	0.0
Latin America	0.0
Africa	6622.0
Rest of the World	0.0
Total	6622.0

3.9 CSPK sold as RSPO certified

Description	Tonnes
Identity Preserved (IP)	0.0
Segregated (SG)	0.0
Mass Balance (MB)	0.0
Total	0.0

3.12 Total CSPK sold as RSPO-certified (tonnes)

Description	Tonnes
3.9 CSPK sold as RSPO-certified	0.0
3.10 CSPK sold under other certification schemes	0.0
3.11 CSPK sold as conventional	0.0
Total	0.0

3.13 According to the volume information you have provided in this questionnaire, CSPK represents the following percentage of your total CPK production

0.00%

4. TimeBound Plan

4.1 Which year did your company achieve (or plans to achieve) its first RSPO P&C certification?

2021

4.2 Which year did your company achieve (or plans to achieve) 100% RSPO certification for all its estates and mills?

2021

4.2.1 If the previous target year for G.4.2 has not been met, please explain why

In 2018 and 2019, we hope to obtain certification to the RSPO standard. Unfortunately, these last two years have been catastrophic for our company due to the drastic drop in production causing financial difficulty even for the classic operation of the company. Certification has therefore been postponed for this year 2020. Again, in this year, the world is facing the COVID-19 pandemic, which is a serious threat to human existence. Faced with this thorny and critical situation deeply affecting human existence, the global and national economy being in difficulty, it is imperative to think more about survival by combining efforts to stem the pandemic. In such a context and with the measures at both international and national level, activity being in slow motion, we have no choice but to postpone the certification process again for 2021 if a solution is found, as quickly as possible later this year to stem the pandemic.

4.3 Which year did your company achieve (or plans to achieve) 100% RSPO certification of scheme smallholders?

2024

4.3.1 If the previous target year for G.4.3 has not been met, please explain why

The certification of Scheme/Plasma/Associated smallholders is closely linked and depends on that of the company. Therefore, not having yet obtained certification due to postponement due to this situation of force majeure, the certification of these can only be postponed also.

4.4 Which year did your company achieve (or plans to achieve) 100% RSPO certification for all FFB, regardless of source?

2020

4.4.1 If the previous target year for G.4.4 has not been met, please explain why

Reasons for not obtaining RSPO certification are discussed in section G4.2.1

5. Concession Map

5.1 The RSPO General Assembly Resolution 6G (2013) calls for all members with Grower operations to submit maps of their concessions through ACOP. Has your company submitted concession maps to the RSPO in previous ACOP cycles?

Yes

5.2 Has your company acquired any new concession sites or have any concession sites changed ownership since the previous ACOP map submission?

No

6. GHG Footprint

6.1 What is the average GHG footprint for all certified management units by hectare (tCO₂e/ha)?

0.0

6.2 What is the average GHG footprint for all certified management units per tonne of crude palm oil (tCO₂e/tCPO)?

0.3

6.3 What are the key emission sources identified by your company in certified management units?

- Land use change
- Existing cultivation peatland
- Palm oil mill effluent (POME)
- Fertiliser application
- Others

Others

fiber burned in a boiler Diesel fuel consumption of the generator and the rolling stock, effluent.

6.4 Does your company have a baseline for GHG reporting?

No

6.4.2 Please explain why your company does not have an existing baseline, and if it is planning to develop a baseline for GHG reporting?

DEKELOIL does not have an existing baseline on the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) declaration due to our lack of control over the procedures for using Palm GHG software. We are looking for a solution that can help to better master the process of using this software. Therefore, we would be very grateful to the RSPO if an African representation could take charge of such training for better appropriation of the software.

7. Support for Oil Palm Smallholders

7.1 How is your company supporting Independent Smallholder groups?

- Sourcing of physical FFB
- Financial support
- Operations support
- Training support
- Community development
- Not supporting Independent Smallholder groups
- Others

Others

-

8. Actions For Next Reporting Period

8.1 Outline activities that your company will undertake in the coming year to advance its certification efforts.

• Gradually migrate to the turbine; • Use the waste water from the effluent treatment process by methanation for the irrigation of the nursery; • Build a biogas plant with the aim of using the gas produced for the production of renewable energy by reducing the use of fossil fuels; • Burn a certain amount of gas and reduce the use of the generator by 2% in 2020 and by 2% in 2021 to approach 1% of use in 2022; • Popularize good management practices at the economic, social and environmental levels; • Seek funding for the certification support project.

8.2 Outline activities that your company will undertake in the coming year to promote the uptake CSPO along the supply chain.

Awareness of producers on the advantage of certification to the RSPO standard; Training of producers on good agricultural practices.

9. Challenges

9.1 What significant obstacles or challenges has your company encountered in the promotion of certified sustainable palm oil (CSPO)? What efforts has your company taken to address these obstacles or challenges?

- Awareness of RSPO in the market
- Difficulties in the certification process
- Certification of smallholders
- Competition with non-RSPO members
- High costs in achieving or adhering to certification
- Human rights issues
- Insufficient demand for RSPO-certified palm oil
- Low usage of palm oil
- Reputation of palm oil in the market
- Reputation of RSPO in the market
- Supply issues
- Traceability issues
- No challenges faced
- Others

Others

In the context of the production of crude palm oil and the supply of palm diets, we encounter several obstacles that we have constantly brought to the attention of the RSPO during the submission of our reports and also meetings Officials organized by RSPO Africa especially that we have not yet had the opportunity to attend as a member at one of the international meetings. The obstacles encountered can be found on several levels below: • On the social plan The dispersion of our plantations (in total 156) over the entire region of Sud-Comoé over seven sectors from Grand-Bassam to Noé on the Ivoir-Ghanaian border makes it difficult if not impossible to implement the requirements of the chain of supply. • At the environmental level, Likewise, one of our biggest constraints is the carrying out of HVC and ESIA studies for these plantations as part of our compensation procedure with regard to non-compliance with the requirements of the procedure for new plantations when creating the majority of these plantations from a logistical and financial point of view. Indeed, the very high cost of these HVC studies genuinely limits the implementation of this procedure because to do so you need ALS accredited HVC assessors. These assessors, in addition to the accreditation requirement, are inexplicably rare. In addition, it should be noted that our compensation procedure (LUCA) initiated since 2014, has dragged on to this day. Thus, at the request of LUCA to make available the satellite images of the year of creation of the plantations created without having respected the procedure of the new plantations of 2005, we were unable to provide the images requested by LUCA for the simple reason that the technology to do it does not exist in Côte d'Ivoire and even the images would not exist after our prospecting with potential providers in the field. It is therefore desperately that we contacted a Dutch expert (SATELIGENCE) who through a report confirmed the information and carried out the study for us. The report is available. Unfortunately, the representatives of the RSPO rejected the report and promised to hire a technician to help us obtain the images to finalize our procedure. To date, we are still waiting for these images despite our multiple reminders. Since our certification process to the RSPO standard strongly depends on this procedure, we contacted the RSPO Africa manager to describe our difficulties. He advised us to carry out the HVC and ESIA studies for all of our plantations (156). In addition, he advises us to take a sample to carry out the studies. We think that this approach is not the right one since the information sought by LUCA concerns the development or not of plantations on possible areas of HCV. Environmental legislation in Côte d'Ivoire (decree no. 96-894 of 8 November 1996 setting the rules and procedures applicable to studies relating to the environmental impact of projects in the Republic of Côte d'Ivoire "ESIA") at appendix I, the ESIA's are carried out on 999 hectares and the law makes it possible to make a declaration of categorical exclusion which is a report which exempts the promoter from carrying out the ESIA when the said project does not appear in any of the project categories mentioned in the appendices I, II and III of the said decree. This is a huge difficulty which seriously taints the certification process so far, has not yet had a happy ending to allow us to move forward. Our proposal at this level would be to revise the mechanism for carrying out studies related to the RSPO to allow a greater majority of actors to be able to carry them out at lower cost. • At the economic level The fierce competition in our sphere of influence (Sud-Comoé region), leads to non-compliance with the rules laid down by the oil palm sector in Côte d'Ivoire (AIPH) with the consequence: - The inability of manufacturers to support producers in terms of agricultural advice; - - The difficulty of rehabilitating roads and the professionalization of cooperatives. Another economic difficulty lies in the cost of the services linked to the implementation of certain requirements of the RSPO, in particular: - HVC performed by an assessor accrediting ALS ; - - The compensation procedure; - - The use of palm GHG software. It would be advisable in view of all these difficulties to take a particular look at the situation of DEKELOIL, because unless I am mistaken or omitted, no company in the world has these characteristics like DEKELOIL which since 2008 has been an ordinary member of the RSPO without even having of plantation and factory. This shows all his commitment to work for a more responsible world of production and consumption. We hope that in the coming days, we will be invited to present all these questions and situations in order to allow RSPO decision-makers to study our situation a little more in depth, especially since the first objective of the RSPO is to encourage stakeholders and members committed to making this world a viable, humanly sustainable environment for us and future generations.

9.2 In addition to the actions already reported in this ACOP report, what other ways has your company supported the vision of the RSPO to transform markets to make sustainable palm oil the norm?

- Engagement with business partners or consumers on the use of CSPO
- Engagement with government agencies
- Promotion of CSPO outside of RSPO venues such as trade workshops or industry associations
- Promotion of physical CSPO
- Providing funding or support for CSPO development efforts
- Research & Development support
- Stakeholder engagement
- No actions taken
- Others

Others

- We funded study tours for cooperatives; - We have offered our site to test the RSPO-certified auditors ; - We are in partnership ; - With SOLIRIDARIDAD for its RSSF / RSPO project ;

9.3 If your company has any other publicly-available reports or information regarding its palm oil-related policies and activities, please provide the links here

Under the policies you will find those already written and disseminated through the link below: https://csdekeloilsiva1059306-my.sharepoint.com/:f/g/personal/olivier_dekeloil_com/Eo4D4EZVMxRGo7dQtdo8_6YB5wxXlfEEaNdjgp-yj9w_kw?e=r3v9F0